



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/656,027

09/04/2003

Barry Byron

33535/US

8490

7590

04/18/2006

Christopher R. Hilberg, Esq.
Dorsey & Whitney LLP
Intellectual Property Department
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498

EXAMINER

DESAI, HEMANT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3721

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/656,027

Applicant(s)

BYRON ET AL.

Examiner

Hemant M. Desai

Art Unit

3721

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23,25-33 and 35-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23,25-33 and 35-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 23, 25, 29-31, 33, 35, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent (8-258851) in view of Faulls, Jr. (3141221).

Japanese Patent discloses a sealing apparatus for sealing bag, comprising an elongated sealing member (21, fig. 1), and an elongated receiver portion (22, fig. 1) having at least one engagement aperture (23, fig. 3) to receive the sealing member, the receiver portion having an opening extending along a length of the receiver portion (see figs. 1-3) the opening being bracketed by first and second ridges (the meeting point of the receiver portion 23 and the lug 71, fig. 8) and providing access to the engagement aperture, and lugs (71, fig. 8) having proximal and distal ends and the lugs are provided at each location such that the proximal end of each lug is proximate to one of the first and second ridges and spaced apart from the opening providing a gripping surface.

The Japanese reference, as mentioned above, discloses all the claimed limitations except for the distal end of the lugs projecting generally outwardly from the receiver portion and downwardly in the direction of the opening of the receiver portion. However, Faulls, Jr. teaches the lugs projecting generally outwardly from the receiver portion and downwardly in the direction of the opening of the receiver portion (14a, 14b,

Art Unit: 3721

see figs. 2-4) to provide reinforcement and thus making the sealing apparatus more durable (see col. 1, lines 65-69). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lugs projecting generally outwardly from the receiver portion and downwardly in the direction of the opening of the receiver portion as taught by Faulls, Jr. in the sealing apparatus of Japanese Patent ('851) to provide reinforcement and thus making the sealing apparatus more durable.

Regarding claims 25 and 35, wherein the elongated sealing member has a circular cross-section (see figs. 6-7) and the engagement aperture of the receiver portion has an approximately circular cross-sectional shape (see figs. 6-7) that is configured to receive the sealing member.

Regarding claims 29 and 39, the coupling member is flexible that couples the sealing portion to the receiver portion (see figs. 1-7).

Regarding claims 30-31, 39, Japanese Patent discloses the sealing portion (21) and receiving portion (22) are formed of a resilient polymeric material (see translation page 6, paragraphs 10 and 11).

Regarding claim 33, the modified sealing apparatus of Japanese Patent ('851) meets all the claimed limitations of claim 33.

Regarding claims 40-42, the modified Japanese Patent discloses a method for sealing a bag (see fig. 1) using an apparatus (20, fig. 1) having an elongated sealing member (21) and an elongated receiver portion (22) having at least one engagement aperture (23) configured to receive the sealing member (see fig. 1), the method

Art Unit: 3721

comprising positioning a portion of a resealable bag (fig. 1) proximate to the engagement aperture, positioning the sealing member proximate to the portion of the resealable bag and the engagement aperture, and pressing the sealing member into the engagement aperture of the receiver portion with the portion of the resealable bag interposed between the sealing member and the receiver portion (see fig.1), which meets all the claimed limitations.

Regarding claim 41, positioning a portion of a resealable bag proximate to the engagement aperture further comprises positioning an opening portion of the bag proximate to the engagement aperture (see fig. 1).

Regarding claim 42, pressing the sealing member into the engagement aperture of the receiver portion further comprises closing the resealable bag to form a hermetic seal therein (see fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 26-28, 32 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent and Faulls, Jr., as applied to claims 23 and 33 above, and further in view of U.S. Application No. US 2003/0188510.

Japanese Patent, as modified by Faulls, Jr. meets all the claimed limitations, except for a handle. However, U.S. Application teaches a handle (16, figs. 1-3) to

Art Unit: 3721

facilitate holding and engaging rod portion (sealing portion) into the clamp (receiver) (see page 1, paragraph 3, lines 9-11). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the handle as taught by U.S. Application No. 10/223647 in the bag sealing apparatus of Japanese Patent to facilitate holding and engaging rod portion (sealing portion) into the clamp (receiver).

Regarding claim 32, U.S. Application teaches that the clamp and receiver are made of flexible metallic materials (see page 1, paragraph 11, lines 1-6). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the clamp and receiver are made of metallic materials as taught by U.S. Application in the bag sealing apparatus of Japanese Patent.

Regarding claims 27-28 and 37-38, Japanese Patent discloses an opening that extends along a length of the sealing portion (see figs. 1-4, 6-8) and a lanyard (61, fig. 7) coupled to the receiver portion (22, fig. 7). Japanese Patent does not disclose expressly that the lanyard extends through the opening of the sealing portion. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to extend the lanyard through the opening because Applicant has not disclosed that by extending the lanyard through the opening provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the lanyard (61) attached to the sealing portion and receiving portion as disclosed in the Japanese Patent or the claimed lanyard extends through the

Art Unit: 3721

opening of the sealing portion because both lanyards perform the same function to prevent lost of either the receiving portion or sealing portion and thus to prevent the sealing apparatus form becoming unusable. Therefore, It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Japanese Patent to obtain the invention as specified in claims 27-28 and 37-38.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23, 25-33, 35-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3721

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-5:30 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hemant M Desai

Hemant M Desai
Examiner
Art Unit 3721

HMD


Rinaldi I. Rada
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700